

requirement extends to the financial and securities activities of the holding company, affiliates and subsidiaries of the broker-dealer that are reasonably likely to have a material impact on the financial or operational condition of the broker-dealer. Rule 17h-2T requires a covered broker-dealer to file with the Commission quarterly reports and a cumulative year-end report concerning the information required to be maintained and preserved under Rule 17h-1T.

The collection of information required by Rules 17h-1T and 17h-2T, collectively referred to as the “risk assessment rules,” is necessary to enable the Commission to monitor the activities of a broker-dealer affiliate whose business activities are reasonably likely to have a material impact on the financial or operational condition of the broker-dealer. Without this information, the Commission would be unable to assess the potentially damaging impact of the affiliate’s activities on the broker-dealer.

There are currently 235 respondents that must comply with Rules 17h-1T and 17h-2T. Each of these 235 respondents are estimated to require 10 hours per year to maintain the records required under Rule 17h-1T, for an aggregate estimated annual burden of 2,350 hours (235 respondents × 10 hours). In addition, each of these 235 respondents must make five annual responses under Rule 17h-2T. These five responses are estimated to require 14 hours per respondent per year for an aggregate estimated annual burden of 3,290 hours (235 respondents × 14 hours).

In addition, new respondents must draft an organizational chart required under Rule 17h-1T and establish a system for complying with the risk assessment rules. The staff estimates that drafting the required organizational chart requires one hour and establishing a system for complying with the risk assessment rules requires three hours. Based on the reduction in the number of filers in recent years, the staff estimates there will be zero new respondents, and thus, a corresponding estimated burden of zero hours for new respondents. Thus, the total compliance burden per year is approximately 5,640 burden hours (2,350 hours + 3,290 hours).

The retention period for the recordkeeping requirement for the information, reports and records required under Rule 17h-1T is not less than three years. There is no specific retention period or recordkeeping requirement for Rule 17h-2T. The collection of information is mandatory.

All information obtained by the Commission pursuant to the provisions of Rules 17h-1T and 17h-2T from a broker or dealer concerning a material associated person is deemed confidential information for the purposes of section 24(b) of the Exchange Act.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information under the PRA unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

The public may view background documentation for this information collection at the following website: >www.reginfo.gov<. Find this particular information collection by selecting “Currently under 30-day Review—Open for Public Comments” or by using the search function. Written comments and recommendations for the proposed information collection should be sent within 30 days of publication of this notice to (i) >MBX.OMB.OIRA.SEC_desk_officer@omb.eop.gov< and (ii) David Bottom, Director/Chief Information Officer, Securities and Exchange Commission, c/o John Pezzullo, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549, or by sending an email to: PRA_Mailbox@sec.gov. Comments must be submitted to OMB within 30 days of this notice.

Dated: April 1, 2022.

J. Matthew DeLesDernier,
Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2022-07336 Filed 4-6-22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-94574; File No. SR-MIAX-2022-12]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; Miami International Securities Exchange LLC; Notice of Filing and Immediate Effectiveness of a Proposed Rule Change To Amend Its Fee Schedule To Extend the SPIKES Options Market Maker Incentive Program Until June 30, 2022

April 1, 2022.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (“Act”)¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on March 23, 2022, Miami International Securities Exchange LLC (“MIAX” or “Exchange”) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“Commission”) a proposed rule change

as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared by the Exchange. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The Exchange is filing a proposal to amend the MIAX Options Fee Schedule (the “Fee Schedule”) to extend the SPIKES Options Market Maker Incentive Program (the “Incentive Program”) until June 30, 2022.

The text of the proposed rule change is available on the Exchange’s website at <http://www.miaxoptions.com/rule-filings>, at MIAX’s principal office, and at the Commission’s Public Reference Room.

II. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, the Exchange included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. The Exchange has prepared summaries, set forth in sections A, B, and C below, of the most significant aspects of such statements.

A. Self-Regulatory Organization’s Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

1. Purpose

The Exchange proposes to extend the Incentive Program until June 30, 2022.

Background

On October 12, 2018, the Exchange received approval from the Commission to list and trade on the Exchange options on the SPIKES® Index, a new index that measures expected 30-day volatility of the SPDR S&P 500 ETF Trust (commonly known and referred to by its ticker symbol, “SPY”).³ The Exchange adopted its initial SPIKES transaction fees on February 15, 2019 and adopted a new section of the Fee Schedule—Section 1(a)(xi), SPIKES—for

³ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 84417 (October 12, 2018), 83 FR 52865 (October 18, 2018) (SR-MIAX-2018-14) (Order Granting Approval of a Proposed Rule Change by Miami International Securities Exchange, LLC to List and Trade on the Exchange Options on the SPIKES® Index).

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(1).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.

those fees.⁴ Options on the SPIKES Index began trading on the Exchange on February 19, 2019.

Incentive Program Extension

On September 30, 2021, the Exchange filed its initial proposal to implement a SPIKES Options Market Maker Incentive Program for SPIKES options to incentivize Market Makers⁵ to improve liquidity, available volume, and the quote spread width of SPIKES options beginning October 1, 2021, and ending December 31, 2021.⁶ Technical details regarding the Incentive Program were published in a Regulatory Circular on September 30, 2021.⁷ On October 12, 2021, the Exchange withdrew SR–MIAx–2021–45 and refiled its proposal to implement the Incentive Program to provide additional details.⁸ In that filing, the Exchange specifically noted that the Incentive Program would expire at the end of the period (December 31, 2021) unless the Exchange filed another 19b–4 Filing to amend the fees (or extend the Incentive Program).⁹ On December 23, 2021, the Exchange filed its proposal to, among other things, extend the Incentive Program for three months, with the Incentive Program ending on March 31, 2022.¹⁰ In that filing, the Exchange specifically noted that the Incentive Program would expire at the end of the period (March 31, 2022) unless the Exchange filed another 19b–4 Filing to amend the terms (or extend the Incentive Program).¹¹ The Exchange now proposes to extend the Incentive Program for an additional

three months, with the Incentive Program ending on June 30, 2022.¹²

The Exchange proposes to extend the Incentive Program for SPIKES options to continue to incentivize Market Makers to improve liquidity, available volume, and the quote spread width of SPIKES options. Currently, to be eligible to participate in the Incentive Program, a Market Maker must meet certain minimum requirements related to quote spread width in certain in-the-money (ITM) and out-of-the-money (OTM) options as determined by the Exchange and communicated to Members via Regulatory Circular.¹³ Market Makers must also satisfy a minimum time in the market in the front 2 expiry months of 70%, and have an average quote size of 25 contracts. The Exchange established two separate incentive compensation pools that are used to compensate Market Makers that satisfy the criteria pursuant to the Incentive Program.

The first pool (Incentive 1) has a total amount of \$40,000 per month, which is allocated to Market Makers that meet the minimum requirements of the Incentive Program. Market Makers are required to meet minimum spread width requirements in a select number of ITM and OTM SPIKES option contracts as determined by the Exchange and communicated to Members via Regulatory Circular.¹⁴ A complete description of how the Exchange calculates the minimum spread width requirements in ITM and OTM SPIKES options can be found in the published Regulatory Circular.¹⁵ Market Makers are also required to maintain the minimum spread width, described above, for at least 70% of the time in the front two (2) SPIKES options contract expiry months and maintain an average quote size of at least 25 SPIKES options contracts. The amount available to each individual Market Maker is capped at \$10,000 per month for satisfying the minimum requirements of the Incentive Program. In the event that more than four Market Makers meet the requirements of the Incentive Program, each qualifying Market Maker is entitled to receive a pro-rated share of the \$40,000 monthly compensation pool dependent upon the number of qualifying Market Makers in that particular month.

The second pool (Incentive 2 Pool) is capped at a total amount of \$100,000 per month which is used during the

Incentive Program to further incentivize Market Makers who meet or exceed the requirements of Incentive 1 (“qualifying Market Makers”) to provide tighter quote width spreads. The Exchange ranks each qualifying Market Maker’s quote width spread relative to each other qualifying Market Maker’s quote width spread. Market Makers with tighter spreads in certain strikes, as determined by the Exchange and communicated to Members via Regulatory Circular,¹⁶ are eligible to receive a pro-rated share of the compensation pool as calculated by the Exchange and communicated to Members via Regulatory Circular,¹⁷ not to exceed \$25,000 per Member per month. Qualifying Market Makers are ranked relative to each other based on the quality of their spread width (*i.e.*, tighter spreads are ranked higher than wider spreads) and the Market Maker with the best quality spread width receives the highest rebate, while other eligible qualifying Market Makers receive a rebate relative to their quality spread width.

The Exchange now proposes to extend the Incentive Program until June 30, 2022. The Exchange does not propose to make any amendments to how it calculates any of the incentives provided for in Incentive Pools 1 or 2. The details of the Incentive Program can continue to be found in the Regulatory Circular that was published on September 30, 2021 to all Exchange Members.¹⁸ The purpose of this extension is to continue to incentivize Market Makers to improve liquidity, available volume, and the quote spread width of SPIKES options. The Exchange will announce the extension of the Incentive Program to all Members via a Regulatory Circular.

2. Statutory Basis

The Exchange believes that its proposal to amend its Fee Schedule is consistent with Section 6(b) of the Act¹⁹ in general, and furthers the objectives of Section 6(b)(4) of the Act²⁰ in particular, in that it is an equitable allocation of reasonable fees and other charges among its members and issuers and other persons using its facilities. The Exchange also believes the proposal furthers the objectives of Section 6(b)(5) of the Act in that it is designed to promote just and equitable principles of trade, to remove impediments to and perfect the mechanism of a free and

⁴ See Securities Exchange Release No. 85283 (March 11, 2019), 84 FR 9567 (March 15, 2019) (SR–MIAx–2019–11). The Exchange initially filed the proposal on February 15, 2019 (SR–MIAx–2019–04). That filing was withdrawn and replaced with SR–MIAx–2019–11. On September 30, 2020, the Exchange filed its proposal to, among other things, reorganize the Fee Schedule to adopt new Section 1(b), Proprietary Products Exchange Fees, and moved the fees and rebates for SPIKES options into new Section 1(b)(i). See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 90146 (October 9, 2020), 85 FR 65443 (October 15, 2020) (SR–MIAx–2020–32); Securities Exchange Act Release No. 90814 (December 29, 2020), 86 FR 327 (January 5, 2021) (SR–MIAx–2020–39).

⁵ The term “Market Makers” refers to “Lead Market Makers”, “Primary Lead Market Makers” and “Registered Market Makers” collectively. See Exchange Rule 100.

⁶ See SR–MIAx–2021–45.

⁷ See MIAx Options Regulatory Circular 2021–56, SPIKES Options Market Maker Incentive Program (September 30, 2021) available at https://www.miaxoptions.com/sites/default/files/circularfiles/MIAx_Options_RC_2021_56.pdf.

⁸ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 93424 (October 26, 2021), 86 FR 60322 (November 1, 2021) (SR–MIAx–2021–49).

⁹ See *id.*, at note 4.

¹⁰ See Securities Exchange Act Release No. 93881 (December 30, 2021), 87 FR 517 (January 5, 2022) (SR–MIAx–2021–63).

¹¹ See *id.*, at footnote 20.

¹² The Exchange notes that at the end of the extension period, the Incentive Program will expire unless the Exchange files another 19b–4 Filing to amend the terms or extend the Incentive Program.

¹³ See *supra* note 7.

¹⁴ See *id.*

¹⁵ See *id.*

¹⁶ See *id.*

¹⁷ See *id.*

¹⁸ See *id.*

¹⁹ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b).

²⁰ 15 U.S.C. 78f(b)(4) and (5).

open market and a national market system, and, in general to protect investors and the public interest and is not designed to permit unfair discrimination between customers, issuers, brokers and dealers.

The Exchange believes that it is reasonable, equitable, and not unfairly discriminatory to extend the Incentive Program for Market Makers in SPIKES options. The Incentive Program is reasonably designed because it will continue to incentivize Market Makers to provide quotes and increased liquidity in select SPIKES options contracts. The Incentive Program is reasonable, equitably allocated and not unfairly discriminatory because all Market Makers in SPIKES options may continue to qualify for Incentive 1 and Incentive 2, dependent upon each Market Maker's quoting in SPIKES options in a particular month. Additionally, if a SPIKES Market Maker does not satisfy the requirements of Incentive Pool 1 or 2, then it simply will not receive the rebate offered by the Incentive Program for that month.

The Exchange believes that it is reasonable, equitable and not unfairly discriminatory to continue to offer this financial incentive to Market Makers in SPIKES options because it will continue to benefit all market participants trading in SPIKES options. SPIKES options is a Proprietary Product on the Exchange and the continuation of the Incentive Program encourages Market Makers in SPIKES options to satisfy a heightened quoting standard, average quote size, and time in market. A continued increase in quoting activity and tighter quotes may yield a corresponding increase in order flow from other market participants, which benefits all investors by deepening the Exchange's liquidity pool, potentially providing greater execution incentives and opportunities, while promoting market transparency and improving investor protection.

The Exchange believes that the Incentive Program is equitable and not unfairly discriminatory because it will continue to promote an increase in SPIKES options liquidity, which may facilitate tighter spreads and an increase in trading opportunities to the benefit of all market participants. The Exchange believes it is reasonable to operate the Incentive Program for a continued limited period of time to strengthen market quality for all market participants. The resulting increased volume and liquidity will benefit those Members who are eligible to participate in the Incentive Program and will also continue to benefit those Members who are not eligible to participate in the

Incentive Program by providing more trading opportunities and tighter spreads.

B. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Burden on Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule change will impose any burden on competition not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

Intra-Market Competition

The Exchange believes that the proposed extension of the Incentive Program would continue to increase intra-market competition by incentivizing Market Makers to quote SPIKES options, which will continue to enhance the quality of quoting and increase the volume of contracts available to trade in SPIKES options. To the extent that this purpose is achieved, all the Exchange's market participants should benefit from the improved market liquidity for SPIKES options. Enhanced market quality and increased transaction volume in SPIKES options that results from the anticipated increase in Market Maker activity on the Exchange will benefit all market participants and improve competition on the Exchange.

Inter-Market Competition

The Exchange does not believe that the proposed rule changes will impose any burden on inter-market competition that is not necessary or appropriate in furtherance of the purposes of the Act because the proposed extension of the Incentive Program applies only to the Exchange's Proprietary Products (including options on SPIKES), which are traded exclusively on the Exchange.

C. Self-Regulatory Organization's Statement on Comments on the Proposed Rule Change Received From Members, Participants, or Others

Written comments were neither solicited nor received.

III. Date of Effectiveness of the Proposed Rule Change and Timing for Commission Action

The foregoing rule change has become effective pursuant to Section 19(b)(3)(A)(ii) of the Act,²¹ and Rule 19b-4(f)(2)²² thereunder. At any time within 60 days of the filing of the proposed rule change, the Commission summarily may temporarily suspend such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public

interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act. If the Commission takes such action, the Commission shall institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule should be approved or disapproved.

IV. Solicitation of Comments

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views, and arguments concerning the foregoing, including whether the proposed rule change is consistent with the Act. Comments may be submitted by any of the following methods:

Electronic Comments

- Use the Commission's internet comment form (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>); or
- Send an email to rule-comments@sec.gov. Please include File Number SR-MIAX-2022-12 on the subject line.

Paper Comments

- Send paper comments in triplicate to Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549-1090.

All submissions should refer to File Number SR-MIAX-2022-12. This file number should be included on the subject line if email is used. To help the Commission process and review your comments more efficiently, please use only one method. The Commission will post all comments on the Commission's internet website (<http://www.sec.gov/rules/sro.shtml>). Copies of the submission, all subsequent amendments, all written statements with respect to the proposed rule change that are filed with the Commission, and all written communications relating to the proposed rule change between the Commission and any person, other than those that may be withheld from the public in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552, will be available for website viewing and printing in the Commission's Public Reference Room, 100 F Street NE, Washington, DC 20549, on official business days between the hours of 10:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. Copies of the filing also will be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the Exchange. All comments received will be posted without change. Persons submitting comments are cautioned that we do not redact or edit personal identifying information from comment submissions. You should submit only information that you wish to make available publicly. All submissions should refer to File Number SR-MIAX-2022-12 and should

²¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b)(3)(A)(ii).

²² 17 CFR 240.19b-4(f)(2).

be submitted on or before April 28, 2022.

For the Commission, by the Division of Trading and Markets, pursuant to delegated authority.²³

J. Matthew DeLesDernier,

Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 2022-07338 Filed 4-6-22; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8011-01-P

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-94583; File No. SR-OCC-2022-005]

Self-Regulatory Organizations; The Options Clearing Corporation; Notice of Filing of Proposed Rule Change Concerning Revisions to OCC's Partial Tear-Up Rules

April 1, 2022.

Pursuant to Section 19(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act" or "Act"),¹ and Rule 19b-4 thereunder,² notice is hereby given that on March 22, 2022, The Options Clearing Corporation ("OCC") filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC" or "Commission") the proposed rule change as described in Items I, II, and III below, which Items have been prepared primarily by OCC. The Commission is publishing this notice to solicit comments on the proposed rule change from interested persons.

I. Clearing Agency's Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change

The proposed rule change would: (i) Amend OCC Rule 1111(e) to clarify the nature of the claim issued to Clearing Members that receive a pro rata payment as a result of a Partial Tear-Up; and (ii) amend OCC Rule 1111(g) to impose a limit on the amount of the special charge that can be levied on Clearing Members to re-allocate losses, costs and fees among resulting from a Partial Tear-Up among all non-defaulting Clearing Members. The proposed changes to OCC Rules are included in Exhibit 5 of File No. SR-OCC-2022-005. Material proposed to be added to OCC's Rules as currently in effect is underlined and material proposed to be deleted is marked in strikethrough text. All capitalized terms not defined herein have the same

meaning as set forth in the OCC By-Laws and Rules.³

II. Clearing Agency's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

In its filing with the Commission, OCC included statements concerning the purpose of and basis for the proposed rule change and discussed any comments it received on the proposed rule change. The text of these statements may be examined at the places specified in Item IV below. OCC has prepared summaries, set forth in sections (A), (B), and (C) below, of the most significant aspects of these statements.

(A) Clearing Agency's Statement of the Purpose of, and Statutory Basis for, the Proposed Rule Change

(1) Purpose

In 2018, OCC adopted enhanced and new tools for recovery scenarios, including a Partial Tear-Up process designed to return OCC to a matched book by extinguishing positions that remain open after OCC has attempted one or more auctions.⁴ The process for determining and terminating Partial Tear-Up Positions is set forth in OCC Rule 1111(e). In adopting Rule 1111(e), OCC noted that its Partial Tear-Up process would be initiated if OCC determined that potential losses from remaining positions of the defaulting member would exceed OCC's financial resources and that the process was designed to be initiated in advance of the exhaustion of OCC's financial resources in order to maintain its ability to meet obligations to non-defaulting members.⁵ OCC also acknowledged that the process may be used to allocate losses in the event OCC's resources are insufficient to pay the Partial Tear-Up Price.⁶ When the Partial Tear-Up process is used to allocate losses, Rule 1111(e)(iii) currently provides that each Clearing Member will receive a pro rata payment based on OCC's remaining resources and an unsecured claim against OCC for the difference between the pro rata amount received and the Partial Tear-Up Price.

An unsecured claim issued pursuant to Rule 1111(e) provides a mechanism for OCC to compensate Clearing Members that receive a pro rata payment when warranted by particular

circumstances (e.g., when funds are subsequently recovered from a defaulted Clearing Member or the estate of the defaulted Clearing Member). However, OCC Rules do not specify a specific payment obligation for these claims. The purpose of the proposed amendment to Rule 1111(e) is to provide clarity regarding the nature of the claim issued following a Partial Tear-Up. More specifically, the revisions to Rule 1111(e) would clarify that: (i) A Clearing Member receiving a pro rata payment following a partial tear-up will have a claim for the value of the difference between the pro rata amount received and the Partial Tear-Up Price; and (ii) such a claim shall be an unsecured claim on any recovery from a suspended or defaulted Clearing Member (or from the estate of a suspended or defaulted Clearing Member). Clarification of the nature of the claim arising out of Rule 1111(e) would, in turn, clarify that such claims would not provide a basis for triggering close-out netting under Article VI, Section 27 of OCC's By-Laws.⁷

As part of its Partial Tear-Up process, OCC also adopted Rule 1111(g), which provides the Board with discretionary authority to levy a special charge against remaining non-defaulting Clearing Members for the purpose of re-allocating the losses, costs and fees imposed on holders of torn-up positions. Following the adoption of OCC Rule 1111, OCC received a letter from the Futures Industry Association ("FIA") requesting that OCC limit the amount of the special charge that could be levied by the Board pursuant to Rule 1111(g) to the amount of a Clearing Member's required contribution to the Clearing Fund.⁸ OCC has considered this request and proposes to amend Rule 1111(g) to cap the amount of the special charge levied under the rule to the amount of the Clearing Members required contribution to the Clearing Fund at the time of the special charge. The purpose of this change is to improve Clearing Members' ability to measure, monitor and manage their potential exposure to OCC.

⁷ OCC By-Laws Art. VI, Section 27(a)(i), regarding default or insolvency of OCC, requires OCC to notify various stakeholders if OCC fails to comply with an undisputed obligation to pay money or deliver property to a Clearing Member under the By-Laws or Rules for a period of thirty days from the date that OCC receives notice from the Clearing Member of the past due obligation.

⁸ The letter OCC received from the FIA has been provided as Exhibit 3A to File No. SR-OCC-2022-005.

³ OCC's By-Laws and Rules can be found on OCC's public website: <https://www.theocc.com/Company-Information/Documents-and-Archives/By-Laws-and-Rules>.

⁴ See Exchange Act Release No. 34-83916 (August 23, 2018); 83 FR 44076 (August 29, 2018) (File No. SR-OCC-2017-020).

⁵ 83 FR at 44078.

⁶ *Id.*

²³ 17 CFR 200.30-3(a)(12).

¹ 15 U.S.C. 78s(b).

² 17 CFR 240.19b-4.